Generation of Motional Squeezed states for Atoms in Optical Tweezers

<u>Romain Martin^{1,2}, Sylvain de Léséleuc¹, Yeelai Chew^{1,3}, Takafumi Tomita¹ and Kenji Ohmori^{1,3}</u> ¹Institute for Molecular Science, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, Japan. ²École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France. ³SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Japan.

Introduction



Optical tweezers description

- ■The Gaussian potential can be approximated by a harmonic one
- ■We can ignore the z axis because $\omega_z \approx 30 \text{kHz} \ (z_R \approx 1.7 \ \mu m)$. Moreover, Δz is a second order term in ΔR









Calculated squeezed state distribution over Hamiltonian eigenstates ($\Delta x = \Delta x_0/2$)

- Probability to have n < 10 is greater than 0.99 with Δx divided by a factor of 2
- The harmonic approximation is valid for squeezed states
- Atoms should remain in the trap as high levels are not populated



- ■Turning off and on the trap squeeze the motional state
- they go further
- squeezed in position and momentum



atoms are released and recaptured

- the same frequencies
- Global spread in r or p shows beatings

Context and experimental setup

Experimental setup

- ■Microscope with high-NA objective lenses
- Large atomic arrays with optical tweezers
- Spatial Light modulator (SLM).
- Observe and control the atoms with single-site resolution
- Raman sideband cooling and spectroscopy



Squeezing fidel

■To recover the ground state, the tweezer must be turned off when the squeezed state is in the position symmetric to the one after squeezing

Traps Anisotropy and inhomogeneity

- ■Squeezed states from different atoms and axis evolve at different frequencies
- ■They cannot be unsqueezed al together
- ■Trap frequencies are



Generate motional squeeze states

Trap frequencies for each different atom

Ultrafast gates



when Δx is minimum



homogenized and equalized by optimizing the SLM phase pattern



- ■Ultrafast gates on Rubidium Rydberg atoms¹ (6.5 ns)
- Strong dipole-dipole interaction $C_3 \approx 1 \, \text{GHz} \cdot \mu \text{m}^3$
- Direct interaction gate (ns)
- Requires control of inter atomic distance



Thermal atoms

Thermal fluctuations

$$\Delta y^{
m th} = \sqrt{rac{k_B T}{m\omega^2}} \simeq 100 \, {
m nm}$$

 $T = 50 \mu {
m K}$

Squeezing to overcome Heisenberg uncertainty and increase 2-qubits gate fidelity ($\sim 2\%$)

Already used by ion-trapped platforms, metrology, gravitational waves, in optical lattice⁶

Squeezed states for optical tweezer ?

•Squeezing factor goal : $S = 2 \rightarrow 6 \text{ dB}$

■Multiple squeeze unsqueeze for multiple 2-qubits

- A high squeezing-unsqueezing fidelity is required to allow for a good 2-qubits gate fidelity
- ■Fidelity is measured with Raman spectroscopy. Adiabatic transition amplitudes give access to $\Delta n = -1$ and $\Delta n = +1$ transitions probabilities : $F_{y} = \left| \left\langle \psi_{y} \right| 0 \right\rangle \right|^{2} = 1 - \frac{B}{R}$

Traps anharmonicity

Far from the tweezer center, the trap is anharmonic:

- Higher eigenstates of the trap correspond to lower energies. Their phase evolve more slowly than in the harmonic case
- In the phase space representation, points far from center rotate more slowly

Wigner representation of a $4\mu s$ squeezed state in an anharmonic trap

The more a state is squeezed, the more it explores anharmonic parts of the trap and the more it gets deformed.

- Squeezing quality decreases with time (see a))
- It makes it impossible to recover the ground state (see b)

50 100 150 200 Delay before release recapture : t_2 (us)

equalized and homogenized

Squeezed state

-5 0 5 10 15 20 25 30

Position spread for a $2\mu s$

and a $4\mu s$ squeezed state:

rotating time t_2 (µs)

Ground state fidelity for a 2μ and a $4\mu s$ squeezed state:

References

- S. de Léséleuc et al. Observation of a symmetry-protected topological phase of interacting bosons with Rydberg atoms. Science 365,775 (2019).
- H. Bernien et al. Probing many-body dynamics on a 51-atom quantum simulator. Nature 551 579 (2017).
- S. J. Evered et al. High-fidelity parallel entangling gates on a neutral atom quantum computer. arXiv:2304.05420 (2023)
- A. M. Kaufman et al., Cooling a Single Atom in an Optical Tweezer to Its Quantum Ground State, Phys. Rev 2, 041014 (2012)
- Y. Chew. et al. Ultrafast energy exchange between two single Rydberg atoms on a nanosecond timescale. Nat. Photon. 16, 724 (2022).
- M. Morinaga et al., Manipulation of Motional Quantum States of Neutral Atoms, Physical Review Letters (1999).
- C. Tuchendler et al., Energy distribution and cooling of a single atom in an optical tweezer Phys. Rev. A 78, 033425 (2008)
- D. Jaksch et al., Fast quantum gates for neutral atoms PRL 85, 2208 (2000).

Outloo

- ■Take SPAM errors into account for more accurate fidelity measurement
- ■Bring the ω_x and ω_y trap frequencies together with apodization technic to increase fidelities and compare with theory (below)

Determine the experimental maximum squeezing factor that can be reached with 99% fidelity. Compare with theory (below)

■Study the influence of trap depth on anharmonicity

■Measure ultrafast 2-qubits gates improvement with squeezed states

■Quantum amplification to measure Rydberg attraction kick